Part 1: Middle School Assessment (AMLE Standards)

PURDUE UNIVERSITY. FORT WAYNE

School of Education

Middle School Student Teaching FINAL Evaluation

As part of understanding what knowledge, skills, and dispositions our students possess, we are asking you to complete an end-of-clinical evaluation. This tool is comprised of three different parts. The first part is based on the Association for Middle Level Education (AMLE) standards for beginning teachers. The second part contains knowledge and skills as outlined by InTASC and CAEP, our accreditating body. The last part asks to you to consider the dispositions that are valued by the faculty at **Purdue University** Fort Wayne. In other words, these dispositions align with our Conceptual Framework. You will also be

asked to provide a narrative summary of the Student Teacher's performance. Thank you in advance for the time you put into this evaluation -- it is very important to us and the Student Teacher.

Evaluation Information:

Date of Evaluation mm/dd/yyyy Teacher Candidate (Student) Name Teacher Candidate (Student) email School Grade Level University Supervisor Name University Supervisor email Cooperating Teacher Name Cooperating Teacher email



The person completing this evaluation is...

Cooperating Teacher

🔘 University Supervisor

Number of students:

AMLE 1 - Young Adolescent Development

With respect to instruction, middle level teacher candidates:

TARGET



AMLE 1 - Young Adolescent Development

With respect to learning environments, middle level teacher candidates:



AMLE 1 - Young Adolescent Development

With respect to <u>diversity</u>, middle level teacher candidates:

TARGET

Apply knowledge of the young adolescents' local, national, and international histories, language/dialects, and individual identities (e.g., race, ethnicity, culture, age, appearance, ability, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, family composition) to effectively select instructional strategies and make curricular decisions.

ACCEPTABLE

Assess the diverse characteristics of their students and use this information when selecting instructional strategies and making curricular decisions.

UNACCEPTABLE

Use characteristics of groups (e.g., young adolescents in poverty) when selecting instructional strategies and making curricular decisions.

Comments for AMLE Standard 1:

AMLE 2 - Middle Level Curriculum

With respect to content knowledge, middle level teacher candidates:

TARGET

Demonstrate depth and breadth of subject matter content knowledge in the subjects they teach. They demonstrate their understanding of the complexities of the subject area disciplines and seek the knowledge needed to improve the effectiveness of their teaching for all young adolescents.

ACCEPTABLE

Demonstrate depth and breadth of subject matter content knowledge in the subjects they teach.

UNACCEPTABLE

Demonstrate limited content knowledge in the subjects they teach. They do not pursue the acquisition of additional knowledge, even when needed for effective instruction.

AMLE 2 - Middle Level Curriculum

With respect to <u>content-specific teaching and assessment strategies</u>, middle level teacher candidates:

TARGET

Demonstrate their ability to analyze content and assess the needs of their students. They purposely select and integrate teaching and assessment strategies that include information literacy skills and state-of-the-art technologies for all students.

ACCEPTABLE

Demonstrate their ability to use content specific teaching and assessment strategies and integrate information into the subjects they teach.

UNACCEPTABLE

literacy skills and technologies Use content specific teaching and assessment strategies.

AMLE 2 - Middle Level Curriculum

With respect to <u>curriculum standards</u>, middle level teacher candidates:

TARGET

Demonstrate their knowledge of state, national, and common core middle level curriculum standards for student learning by aligning instructional goals and student assessments with these standards. They deconstruct the standards to better understand their intent standards for student learning and their effects on all young adolescents.

ACCEPTABLE

Use knowledge of state, national, and common core middle level curriculum when planning curriculum and selecting teaching strategies.

UNACCEPTABLE

Reference state and/or common core standards when planning curriculum without clear use of standards.

AMLE 2 - Middle Level Curriculum

With respect to student engagement, middle level teacher candidates:

TARGET

Demonstrate a commitment to and advocacy for middle level curriculum that is relevant. challenging, integrative, and exploratory.

ACCEPTABLE

Develop and utilize middle level curriculum that is relevant, challenging, integrative, and exploratory.

UNACCEPTABLE

Follow a middle level curriculum that focuses on rote, unengaged learning (e.g., has a strong emphasis on worksheets).

AMLE 2 - Middle Level Curriculum

With respect to <u>curriculum modification</u>, middle level teacher candidates:



Comments for AMLE Standard 2:

AMLE 3 - Middle Level Philosophy and School Organization

Middle level teacher candidates:

TARGET

Use knowledge of developmentally responsive and socially equitable practices to foster healthy adolescent development within their practice.

ACCEPTABLE

Use knowledge of developmentally responsive and socially equitable practices within the context of the school setting.

UNACCEPTABLE

Use knowledge of developmentally responsive practices within the classroom context.

Comments for AMLE Standard 3:

AMLE 4 - Middle Level Instruction & Assessment

With respect to instructional strategies, middle level teacher candidates:

TARGET

Demonstrate their knowledge of content specific teaching strategies, use a wide variety of them in their teaching, and Demonstrate their knowledge modify their use based on the unique learning needs of their students.

ACCEPTABLE

of content specific teaching strategies by using them successfully in their teaching.

UNACCEPTABLE

Rely on a few, albeit appropriate, content specific teaching strategies.

AMLE 4 - Middle Level Instruction & Assessment

With respect to <u>assessment strategies</u>, middle level teacher candidates:

TARGET ACCEPTABLE Select or create a wide variety Understand the multiple roles of content specific formative of assessment and use this

UNACCEPTABLE

Utilize content specific formative and summative

and summative assessments. They analyze the data to evaluate their practice and inform their instruction (e.g., adjust pace, differentiate for individuals, create meaningful learning experiences, and implement effective lessons).

knowledge to create content specific formative and summative tools. They use assessment data to inform their instruction.

assessment to gather data, but don't use results to inform instruction.

AMLE 4 - Middle Level Instruction & Assessment

With respect to <u>determining impact of instruction</u>, middle level teacher candidates:

TARGET Employ a process of **UNACCEPTABLE** collaboration with students to ACCEPTABLE Reflect on impact of their determine the impact of their Evaluate the impact on their instruction on student learning, instruction on student learning, instruction on student learning, but may blame students for a and they adjust their teaching and they adjust their teaching lack of learning or accordingly. understanding. accordingly.

AMLE 4 - Middle Level Instruction & Assessment

With respect to student motivation, middle level teacher candidates:

TARGET motivation by establishing productive learning

ACCEPTABLE

Emphasize intrinsic student Use both intrinsic and extrinsic motivation to increase student motivation for learning and to

UNACCEPTABLE

Inconsistently establish a productive learning environment.

create productive learning environments for specific

young adolescents.

environments for all students (e.g., one that is equitable, inclusive, and caring; that employs research-based methodologies; fosters trusting relationships; sets rigorous academic expectations; and includes the skilled use of technology).

Comments for AMLE Standard 4:

AMLE 5 - Middle Level Professional Roles

With respect to <u>collaboration</u>, middle level teacher candidates:

TARGET

ACCEPTABLE

UNACCEPTABLE

Understand the of student support systems as interdependent relationships among all professionals who a way to better serve young serve young adolescents (e.g., interdisciplinary teams, school counselors, social service workers, home-school coordinators, and community agencies). They initiate

adolescents.

Willingly engage as members Observe other professional as they serve adolescents or engage in collaborative processes with colleagues.

opportunities to collaborate with other professionals in ways that support and strengthen teaming practices and collaborative processes.

AMLE 5 - Middle Level Professional Roles

With respect to <u>family and community engagement</u>, middle level teacher candidates:

TARGET

Initiate collaboration with parents and community members to improve education and to promote the well-being of all young adolescents.

ACCEPTABLE

Initiate communications with parents and community members to improve education for all young adolescents.

UNACCEPTABLE

Answer questions or respond to requests for information by family and community members.

AMLE 5 - Middle Level Professional Roles

With respect to ethical behavior, middle level teacher candidates:

TARGET

Because they understand the impact they have on young adolescents, take responsibility for modeling

ACCEPTABLE

Recognizing the impact of their behaviors on young adolescents, demonstrate

UNACCEPTABLE

May engage in unethical and/or unprofessional behavior with students. appropriate ethical behaviors appropriate ethical behaviors and high levels of professional and professional competence.

AMLE 5 - Middle Level Professional Roles

With respect to professional development, middle level teacher candidates:



Comments for AMLE Standard 5:

** You have completed Part 1. Please verify your answers before hitting the button below to continue to Parts 2 and 3.**

Part 2 - Unit-wide Assessment (CAEP/InTASC Stnds)

Learners & Learning

The candidate regularly assesses development and learning of each student and uses that information to scaffold to next levels.

InTASC #1

CAEP 1.1

Target

Acceptable

Candidate regularly assesses learning (e.g., performance, abilities, and skills) of individuals and the group. Data are used to design responsive curriculum and instruction to scaffold the next level of learning.

Candidate assesses, albeit inconsistently, learning (e.g., performance, abilities, and skills) of individuals and the group. Data are used to design responsive curriculum Curriculum and instruction are and instruction to meet learners' needs.

Unacceptable

Candidate infrequently assesses learning for individuals and group. selected without reference to learning characteristics.

Content Knowledge

Candidate uses technology effectively to achieve content-specific learning goals.

InTASC #5

CAEP 1.5

Target Acceptable Unacceptable Candidate engages and Candidate engages students Candidate emphasizes involves students with different in technologies that are technologies that have limited

https://purdue.ca1.qualtrics.com/WRQualtricsControlPanel/Ajax.php?action=GetSurveyPrintPreview

technologies to achieve specific learning goals in the I content area(s). The technology tools or apps are used in such a way that students deepen their understanding of the content.

connected to the specific learning goals for the content area(s).

utility for enriching learning in the content area(s).

Content Knowledge

Candidate engages students in making meaning of the content by examining it through diverse perspectives and personal responses.

InTASC #4

CAEP 1.1

Target

Candidate engages students in discovering meaning of the content by questioning and analyzing ideas from diverse perspectives in content texts, materials, performances, and/or labs. Students are challenged to connect their personal responses to other larger meanings and critical stances in the content area.

Unacceptable

AcceptableCandidate provides content
text, materials, performances,
and/or labs from limited
perspectives, thus restricting
the students' ability to engage
in making meaning of content
texts, materials, performances,
or labs by providing diverse
materials and opportunities for
personal response.Candidate provides content
text, materials, performances,
and/or labs from limited
perspectives, thus restricting
in making meaning. Or,
candidates might over-
emphasize students' personal
responses to the content.

Instructional Practice

Candidate uses both formative and summative assessment to document learning. InTASC #6 **CAEP 1.1**

Unacceptable Target Candidate relies significantly Candidate balances the use of on one assessment method formative and summative Acceptable over the other. Data are used assessments, as appropriate, Candidate uses both formative to demonstrate what students and summative assessments do not know or are unable to to support, verify, and document learning. to document learning. do.

Instructional Practice

The candidate selects learning experiences that reflect curriculum goals and content standards while being relevant to learners.

InTASC #7

CAEP 1.1

Target Candidate creates learning

experiences that are

meaningful to learners due to

Acceptable

experiences based on

directly.

Candidate selects learning

Unacceptable

Candidate follows curriculum students' contextual variables students' prior knowledge. The guides or sequence with minimal consideration to how meaningful experiences are standards, yet sometimes not for learners or for addressing content standards.

and prior knowledge. The experiences also reflect experiences also align to curriculum and content curriculum and content standards

Instructional Practice Candidates use technology to ensure accessibility and relevance for all learners. InTASC #8 **CAEP 1.1**

Target

Technology enhances the teaching and learning process in a way that is not achievable without it. Also, it is ageappropriate, matching ability levels, interests, and needs.

Acceptable

Technology selected is ageappropriate, matching ability levels, interests, and needs.

Unacceptable

Technology selected is appropriate for a subset of students.

Professional Responsibility

The candidate uses a variety of self-assessment strategies to analyze and reflect on his/her practice.

InTASC #9

CAEP 3.6

Target

Candidate creates a plan for reflecting on practices during and after instruction. The data gathered via the strategies are gathered via the strategies are analyzed and used to make a variety of adaptations/ adjustments (e.g., organizational, instructional,

Acceptable

improvements to future

instructional plans.

Unacceptable

Candidate creates a plan for Candidate reflects on practice reflecting on practice after in an unplanned, unsystematic instruction occurs. The data way or only when prompted by someone to do so. analyzed and used to make Experiences are reflected on in a holistic manner without reference to specific data. In addition, the candidate may

materials, etc.) that benefit the students.

lack links between changes made and data collected.

Professional Responsibility

The candidate understands laws related to learners' rights and teacher responsibilities.

InTASC #9

CAEP 3.6

Unacceptable

	Candidate demonstrates
	misunderstandings or gaps
Acceptable	in knowledge concerning
Candidate demonstrates a firm	educational laws, especially
understanding of educational laws,	confidentiality, requirements
especially confidentiality,	for reporting child abuse and
I requirements for reporting child	neglect and/or
abuse and neglect and	discrimination/
discrimination/harassment/bullying.	harassment/bullying.
Ο	0
	Candidate demonstrates a firm understanding of educational laws, especially confidentiality, requirements for reporting child abuse and neglect and

Professional Responsibility

The candidate demonstrates professional ethics and respect for others in the use of technology (e.g., learning management system, social media).

InTASC #9

CAEP 1.5

Target

Acceptable

Unacceptable

Candidate explicitly teaches and supports students' application of digital citizenship characteristics.When necessary, family members are notified in advance of classroom activities. Qualtrics Survey Software

Candidate follows characteristics of digital citizenship when developing lesson plans that incorporate technology. Reminders or prompts for students are outlined. When necessary, family members are notified in advance of classroom activities.

Candidate does not acknowledge, support, or follow components of digital citizenship for self or students. Family members are not notified in advance of classroom activities when it was necessary.

You have completed Parts 1 and 2. Please verify your answers before hitting the button below to continue to Part 3.

Part 3: Unit-wide Disposition Assessment (CAEP/InTASC Stnds)

College of Professional Studies

Disposition Assessment

Indicator 1: DEMOCRACY & COMMUNITY: Builds a community based on belief that each child/adolescent (c/a) can learn to high levels. InTASC #2 CAEP 3.3

Target

ACCEPTABLE

UNACCEPTABLE

https://purdue.ca1.qualtrics.com/WRQualtricsControlPanel/Ajax.php?action=GetSurveyPrintPreview

Communicates through words Communicates through words Communicates through words and actions that each c/a can and actions that each c/a can and actions that some (not all) learn to high levels. learn to high levels. c/a can learn to high levels. Communicates faith in values, Communicates positive Communicates negative strengths, and competencies perspectives about c/a and perspectives about a c/a or families. Sets minimal of each c/a and family. families. Supplements Communicates high prescribed curriculum with expectations for c/a enrichment experiences that expectations through design performance. Seeks minimal and delivery of challenging reflect some c/a's lives outside information about c/a's lives curriculum and assessments of school. outside of school, usually in that foster high-level skills for response to a problem. each c/a.

Indicator 2: DEMOCRACY & COMMUNITY: Values diversity and uses it to create an inclusive classroom.

InTASC # 2

CAEP 3.3

TARGET

Culturally responsive practices are evident in delivery of instruction. Works with children/adolescents to address injustices in curriculum, society, or own lives.

ACCEPTABLE

Creates a curriculum that demonstrates valuing diverse groups through classroom materials, activities, and assignments.

UNACCEPTABLE

A single perspective dominates classroom materials, activities, and assignments.

Indicator 3: HABITS OF MIND: Relentless in belief about the importance of teachers using critical thinking, reflection, and professional development to grow as

a teacher. InTASC #9

TARGET Independently reflects on

ACCEPTABLE

effectiveness of teaching by asking critical questions. Approaches professional growth from a critical thinking. inquiry perspective. Seeks out including professional learning opportunities within learning environment to grow as a professional.

Makes changes to practices in response to feedback. Participates in professional development opportunities, communities, scholarly endeavors, and/or teacher research.

UNACCEPTABLE

Overly dependent on feedback from others OR disregards feedback provided. Actively avoids engaging intellectually in professional development opportunities

Indicator 4: HABITS OF MIND: Committed to designing meaningful, intellectually engaging curriculum.

InTASC #7

CAEP 3.3

TARGET

Makes c/a's habits of mind visible through inquiries or investigations (critiquing, questioning, analyzing, evaluating). Ties together multiple concepts so that similarities and differences are understood by c/a.

ACCEPTABLE

Creates a context that is supportive in developing c/a's habits of mind. Encourages multiple pathways for solving problems. Judiciously utilizes worksheets or tests.

UNACCEPTABLE

Engages in behaviors that result in intellectual dependency of c/a, for example, show, tell, and demonstrate. Teaches one way to solve a problem and accepts only that method. Follows teaching manual, curriculum guides, or colleagues without evaluating

potential engagement levels by c/a's.

Indicator 5: ADVOCACY:

Willingness to collaborate to help each child learn.

InTASC #9

CAEP 3.3

TARGET

Collaborates with family members and other teachers to create innovative solutions that support each child's/ adolescent's success.

ACCEPTABLE

Coordinates actions with colleagues to meet students' learning needs.

UNACCEPTABLE

Important educational decisions are made independently without communicating with family members or colleagues.

Indicator 6: ADVOCACY: Persistent in advocating for and promoting the profession. InTASC # 10

CAEP 3.3

TARGET

Advocates for the profession by speaking or acting publically on issues facing schools, teachers, families, students, or communities.

ACCEPTABLE

Projects positive view of profession when communicating with others about children, adolescents, families, colleagues, or the profession.

UNACCEPTABLE

Initiates or adds to negativity about c/a, families, colleagues, or profession, projecting a negative view of the profession to others.

COMMENTS - FOR FINAL EVALUATION ONLY:

This is the most important part of the rating of the student teacher. This narrative summary should be reasonably detailed, complete, and accurate, including reference to specific examples of the student teacher's skills. It should address the student teacher's abilities and readiness to be a first-year teacher. The summary should include your recommendation of the student teacher's potential as a member of the profession. Please remember that many times candidates are required to include this as part of their job application packet.

Final Recommendation

- Recommend for licensing
- Recommend for licensing with reservations
- I do not recommend for licensing

Powered by Qualtrics