

A new approach to calculating allophonic balance and functional load

Naomi Gurevich^a, Zhenisbek Assylbekov^a, Maxat Tezekbayev^b, Heejin Kim^c, and Jonathan Washington^d

^aPurdue University Fort Wayne, USA; ^bNazarbayev University, Kazakhstan; ^cUniversity of Illinois, USA; ^dSwarthmore College, USA



BACKGROUND

- Purpose:** New method to measure
 - Allophonic balance
 - Functional load
- Relevant to speech perception and intelligibility

Measuring Functional load

- Consequences of loss of lexical distinction:
 - Greater with higher functional load contrast
- With respect to allophones:
 - Positional distribution (**allophonic coverage**)
 - Frequency thereof (**allophonic balance**)

Sound structure constrained by contrast

- Typologically, overly similar contrasts are avoided
- When alveolar stops are spirantized (Gurevich 2011)
 - [d] → [ɹ] (always)
 - but [d] → [ɹ] only when no /r/, else → [ð]
- Rare occurrence of oppositions between similar phonemes
 - Basque laminal /s/: apical /s/
- Allophonic balance may **predict the functional consequences**
- Clinical implications for evaluation of intelligibility (Gurevich & Kim 2023)

METHOD

- Defined a series of specific universal contexts for phones
 - By adjacency to C, V, or # (word boundary)
 - By word length & stress status (1σ, >1σ, >1σ̂)

Automatic Processing

- Transcribe using CharsiuG2P (Zhu et al. 2022)
- Syllabify it (our own algorithm)
- Count occurrences of each phone in each context
- Normalize the counts
- Output in table
- source code: https://github.com/MaxatTezekbayev/allophonic_balance

Application

- Can use a large corpus to represent a language
- Can compare individual texts to corpus results
 - For benchmarking

Stop using *The Rainbow passage!*

Ask me why.

RESULTS

Applying to English

- Used 188K-sentence IWSLT'14 corpus (Cettolo et al. 2014)
- E.g., in 1σ, #_V:
 - /z/ is extremely uncommon
 - /ð/ is very common
 - Very frequent in function words, 2nd least frequent in content words (Gurevich & Kim 2022)
- The z/ð contrast: **low functional load**
 - If neutralized would not greatly interfere with communication
- /t/ and /d/ are both fairly common
 - The t/d contrast: **higher functional load**

Benchmarking of a text:

- The Rainbow Passage* (Fairbanks 1960)
 - 35.84% allophonic coverage (Gurevich & Kim 2022)
 - 86.34% allophonic balance
- This passage is not representative of English speech
 - Limits clinical and research usefulness of the passages
- Recommended alternative: FITI phrases (Gurevich & Kim 2023)

Positional context counts of English part of IWSLT'14 corpus (normalized counts)

	#_V			#_C			V_#			C_#			V_C			C_V			V_V			C_C		
	1σ	>1σ	>1σ̂	1σ	>1σ	>1σ̂	1σ	>1σ	>1σ̂	1σ	>1σ	>1σ̂	1σ	>1σ	>1σ̂	1σ	>1σ	>1σ̂	1σ	>1σ	>1σ̂	1σ	>1σ	>1σ̂
/p/	0.0023935	0.0051344	0.0014987	0.0009998	0.0027569	0.0010457	0.0025005	2.486E-05	0.0004248	0.0002909	6.25E-06	4.076E-06	0.000528	0.0010734	0.0010372	0.0006305	0.0021588	0.0016787	0.0009972	0.004553	0.0002088	0.0012099	0.0005179	
/b/	0.0098783	0.0032224	0.0032801	0.0013021	0.0006123	6.453E-05	0.0005604	4.932E-05	3.339E-05	1.331E-05	7.744E-06	4.755E-06	0.0001192	0.0004668	0.0015123	0.0002087	0.001356	0.0028053	0.0031683	1.467E-05	3.736E-05	0.0001096		
/t/	0.0177952	0.0026534	0.0011248	0.0009739	0.0008521	0.0003732	0.0314937	0.0029277	0.0025981	0.0104134	0.0019316	0.0059218	0.0050865	0.0010949	0.0015677	0.0018052	0.0039484	0.0104117	0.0021666	0.0010919	0.0009589	0.0018147	0.0031163	
/d/	0.0056267	0.0038865	0.0031205	0.0004865	0.0001698	2.717E-05	0.0081531	0.000589	0.003884	0.0213797	0.0016851	0.0002534	0.0005697	0.0004971	0.0004709	0.0004137	0.0035236	0.0017124	0.0049493	0.0007789	0.0004713	0.0007437		
/k/	0.0074241	0.0041187	0.0033123	0.0010706	0.0014282	0.0005193	0.0001859	0.0018067	0.00025868	1.44E-05	0.0001172	0.0021453	0.0054936	0.00052424	0.00017214	0.0029588	0.0044589	0.0007084	0.0006688	0.0004183				
/g/	0.0044632	0.0021928	6.005E-05	0.0012915	0.0006373	3.492E-05	0.0005542	3.125E-06	5.108E-05	3.125E-06	1.359E-06	3.6E-05	0.0001935	0.0006231	0.0012984	1.359E-07	0.0002137	0.0006544	0.0009884	0.0010149	1.902E-06	0.0001186	0.0003701	
/f/	0.0071548	0.002958	0.0005762	0.0026489	0.0006895	5.163E-05	0.0146906	0.0002232	9.844E-05	7.35E-05	0.0002258	0.0001216	0.000321	0.000722	0.0006174	1.617E-05	0.0003844	0.0013579	0.001043	0.0002069	4.076E-06	0.0001465	0.0002171	
/v/	0.0001793	0.0024962	0.0002471	8.722E-05	1.277E-05	0.0051734	0.0004338	0.0008119	9.538E-05	5.665E-05	9.51E-07	0.0004728	0.0004323	0.0011412	9.51E-07	0.0008747	0.0005237	0.0013579	0.0051375	6.521E-05	0.0003278	4.117E-05		
/θ/	0.0034432	0.0005159	2.255E-05	0.0008652	9.51E-05	1.549E-05	0.0030508	2.391E-05	6.168E-05	0.0005895	1.956E-05	3.288E-05	3.628E-05	5.489E-05	4.035E-05	5.679E-05	0.0008364	0.0002375	0.0009532	0.0001329	7.241E-05	4.538E-05		
/ð/	0.0466904	0.0005732	0.0001047	x	x	2.35E-05	1.359E-07	4.076E-07	x	x	x	5.57E-06	8.152E-06	6.793E-07	x	x	9.334E-05	x	0.0021208	x	x	3.804E-06		
/s/	0.0110987	0.0063134	0.0017941	0.004323	0.002502	0.0001769	0.015438	0.0002627	0.0007793	0.0040662	0.00041348	0.0024855	0.0005045	2.985E-06	0.0020411	0.0038499	0.0016727	0.0002752	0.0009929	0.0014041	0.0017896			
/z/	5.869E-05	0.0001069	1.209E-05	1.359E-07	2.717E-07	2.717E-07	0.0107491	0.0018343	0.004659	0.0073472	0.000821	0.007419	0.0003142	0.0004341	0.0002759	x	0.0006365	0.0001087	0.0012018	0.0031584	5.434E-07	2.609E-05	6.657E-05	
/j/	0.0021249	0.0003869	1.671E-05	2.568E-05	1.671E-05	4.076E-07	0.0002765	4.212E-06	0.0002319	4.483E-06	x	1.359E-07	3.641E-05	5.04E-05	0.0001219	1.359E-07	2.473E-05	0.0018155	0.0001698	0.0051025	x	3.804E-06	3.668E-06	
/h/	0.013287	0.0027266	0.000313	-	-	-	9.51E-07	1.128E-05	1.63E-06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.766E-06	6.576E-05	1.25E-05	0.0005797	-	-		
/q/	0.0009455	0.0009689	8.858E-05	x	4.076E-07	x	0.0024823	0.0001056	2.595E-05	0.0001827	0.000109	5.434E-06	6.494E-05	0.000185	6.657E-06	x	0.0001499	0.0024543	0.00061	0.001034	2.758E-05	1.196E-05	1.359E-06	
/ɔ̃/	0.0002026	0.000761	0.0003069	4.076E-07	1.359E-07	0.0004	2.772E-05	0.0007274	0.0005187	4.375E-05	7.785E-05	1.196E-05	6.589E-05	9.13E-05	0.0001648	0.0011029	0.0002831	0.0002467	0.0003584	8.845E-05	5.38E-05	8.016E-06		
/m/	0.0093325	0.0053399	0.0010664	3.261E-06	0.0015517	7.201E-05	0.0007987	0.0004763	0.0017658	0.000317	5.801E-05	5.869E-05	0.0009207	0.0003771	0.0028466	0.0002888	0.0005876	0.0012316	0.0002236	0.0046159	0.0002224	8.057E-05	3.016E-05	
/n/	0.0077663	0.0023522	0.0002912	1.494E-06	2.717E-07	5.434E-07	0.023301	0.0015313	0.0103058	0.0003471	3.994E-05	0.000233	0.0231505	0.0121612	0.0163289	4.551E-05	0.0006547	0.0015611	0.0021651	0.0003067	0.0003467	4.864E-05	5.38E-05	
/ŋ/	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0014801	0.0001456	0.0128486	-	-	-	0.0026004	0.0012228	0.000461	-	-	-	0.0020007	9.646E-06	-	-		
/w/	0.0274078	0.0026568	0.0003128	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0029111	0.001363	0.001513	0.0003667	0.0006665	
/j/	0.0107154	0.0010225	0.0004269	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0005251	0.0018415	0.0029138	0.0001575	8.369E-05	
/l/	0.0075149	0.000351	0.0001531	-	-	-	0.0073332	0.0003736	0.0099454	7.513E-05	4.076E-07	8.152E-07	0.0032411	0.0044674	0.002477	0.0022587	0.0028322	0.0056669	0.002852	0.0095182	0.0009709	4.375E-05	5.978E-06	
/r/	0.0028363	0.0033095	0.0027551	-	-	-	0.0184319	0.0008006	0.0134525	-	-	-	0.0090718	0.0082023	0.0090589	0.0072915	0.0073936	0.0075908	0.0004842	0.0109974	-	-		

CONCLUSIONS

Problems with other methods

- Massive variability of stimuli across studies
- Hinders comparison of results (Bent et al. 2007)
- Allophonic balance ignored by tools to calculate functional load (Hall et al. 2021)

Advantages of our method

- Semi-automated
- Cross-linguistic use
- Facilitates replication and comparison of results across studies

References

Bent, T., Bradlow, A. R., & Smith, B. L. 2007. Phonemic errors in different word positions and their effects on intelligibility of non-native speech. In Oche-Schwen, Bohn & Murray J. Murray (eds.), *Language Experience in Second Language Speech Learning: In honor of James Emil Flege*, 331-347. John Benjamin Publishing Co.

Cettolo, M., Niehues, J., Sliker, S., Bentivogli, L., and Federico, M. 2014. Report on the 14th IWSLT evaluation campaign. In *International Workshop on Spoken Language Translation (IWSLT)*.

Fairbanks, G. 1960. *Voice and articulation drillbook*. Harper & Row.

Gurevich, N. 2011. *Lenition*. In M. Oostendorp, C. J. Ewen, E. Hume, & K. Rice (Eds.), *The Blackwell companion to phonology* (Vol. 2). Wiley-Blackwell.

Gurevich, N., & Kim, H. 2022. Examination of consonantal phonemic coverage in standard reading passages. *Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups*, 7(5), 4579-4582. doi: 10.1044/2022_PERSP-22-00063

Gurevich, N., & Kim, H. 2023. Examination of novel speech stimuli with phonemic coverage and phonemic balance. *Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups*, 8(3), 424-437. doi: 10.1044/2023_PERSP-22-00180

Hall, Kathleen Currie, Blake Allen, Edith Coates, Michael Fry, Serena Huang, Khia Johnson, Roger Lu, Scott Mackie, Stanley Nam, and Michael McAuliffe. (2021). *Phonological CorpusTools*, Version 1.6.0. (Computer program). <http://phonologicalcorpus.tools.github.io/CorpusTools/>

Zhu, J., C. Zhang, and D. Jiangens. 2022. "Bty5 model for massively multilingual grapheme-to-phoneme conversion." <https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.03067>